## Case 4:22-cv-01587-JSW Document 106 Filed 08/04/23 Page 1 of 4

```
1
   Hugo Torbet
    Attorney at Law, SBN 147650
 2
    1 Harbor Dr., Suite 300
    Sausalito, CA 94965
 3
    Telephone: (415) 986-9400
    email: steadyrolling@gmail.com
 4
    Attorney for Plaintiff
 5
    Joseph Cook (22-07645)
 6
 7
 8
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9
                      NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11
    In re:
12
         CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
                                          No. 22-cv-01587-JSW
         FRANCISCO,
13
               Contemnor.
                                          MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
14
                                          AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF EX
                                          PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER
15
    SELINA KEENE, et al.,
                                          TO SHOW CAUSE re:
                                                             CONTEMPT
16
               Plaintiffs,
17
         v.
18
    CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
    FRANCISCO, et al.,
19
               Defendants.
2.0
21
    AND CONSOLIDATED CASES.
22
23
                             STATEMENT OF FACTS
2.4
             On April 28, 2023, the court ordered the parties to
2.5
    comply with General Order No. 71.
26
         2.
             General Order No. 71 is clear and unambiguous.
27
             General Order No. 71 requires the Contemnor to provide
28
    specified documents and information. (Exhibit A, pp. 3-6.)
    22-01587; MPA in Sup. of Ex Parte Application
                                      1
    for an Order to Show Cause re: Contempt
```

The Contemnor failed to comply with General Order No. 1 4. 2 71, and upon being called on this failure, persisted, and 3 continues to persist, in its refusal to comply. (See, Exhibit B; 4 and see, Dec. of H. Torbet,  $\P\P$  7-12.) 5 This ex parte application for an order to show cause re: 6 contempt follows. 7 ARGUMENT AND AUTHORITIES AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE IS WARRANTED 8 9 Contempt is an authorized remedy for a failure to comply 10 with an order to provide discovery. (Fed. R. Civ. P. 11 37(b)(2)(A)(vii).) Contempt is a remedial action with the 12 purpose of obtaining compliance with the order. (Food Lion, Inc. 13 v. United Food & Commercial Workers Int'l Union, 103 F.3d 1007, 14 1016-1018 (DC Cir. 1997).) 15 The elements of a (civil) contempt action are (1) there was 16 a clear and unambiguous order, (2) the order required certain 17 conduct by the alleged contemnor, and (3) the alleged contemnor 18 failed to comply with the order. (Static Media LLC v. Leader 19 Access. LLC, 38 F.4th 1042, 1045 (Fed. Cir. 2022).) Further, the 2.0 action must be proved by "clear and convincing" evidence, which 21 in this context means that quantum of proof which is adequate to 22 demonstrate to a reasonable certainty that a violation has 23 occurred. (Levin v. Tiber Holding Corp., 277 F.3d 243, 250 (2nd 2.4 Cir. 2002).) Notably, the aggrieved party is not required to 25 prove that the violation of the order was willful. (McComb v. 26 Jacksonville Paper Co., 336 U.S. 187, 191 (1949).) 27 The correct process by which to initiate a civil contempt

28

action is an order to show cause directed to the alleged

```
(K.M. v. Tehachapi Unified Sch. Dist., No.
 1
 2
    117cv01431-LJO-JCT, 2020 WL 6145113, at 10 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 20,
 3
    2020).) The aggrieved party's request for an order to show cause
   must make a prima facie case of contempt of court.
 4
                                                         (Eaconomy,
 5
   LLC v. Auvoria Prime, LLC,482 F.Supp.3d 1030, 1037 (E.D. Cal
 6
    2020).)
 7
         In this case, all of the elements of an action for civil
 8
    contempt of court are met. Moreover, the evidence which
 9
    establishes these elements is clear and convincing as defined by
10
    the jurisprudence related to this process.
                                                Indeed, it is more
11
    than reasonably certain that the Contemnor failed to comply with
12
    General Order No. 71. It is indisputable. (See, e.g., Exhibit
```

Accordingly, an order to show cause re: contempt should be issued so as to initiate a contempt proceeding against the Contemnor with the ultimate purpose of coercing The Contemnor's compliance with General Order No. 71.

Note: Mr. Cook does not seek, and will not request, any monetary relief in this action.

## CONCLUSION

One essential tenet of the American legal system is that everyone is equal in the eyes of the law. The court is a level playing field. An ancient phrasing of this is that "Where the reason is the same, the rule should be the same." (Cal. Civil Code § 3511 (1872).)

Mr. Cook complied with General Order No. 71 timely, completely, and without equivocation. He seeks nothing more, but

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

B.)

## Case 4:22-cv-01587-JSW Document 106 Filed 08/04/23 Page 4 of 4

```
cannot accept anything less, of the Contemnor.
 1
 2
    Dated: August 4, 2023
 3
                                          Respectfully submitted,
 4
                                          s/ Hugo Torbet
 5
                                          Attorney for Joseph Cook
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```